

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,
Plaintiff,
v.
FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR
INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [09-cv-05235-MMC](#)

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS'
REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE
STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISION**

Re: Dkt. No. 983


Before the Court is defendants' Request for Leave to File a Statement of Recent Decision, filed July 27, 2016, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(d). Also before the Court are plaintiff's Objections thereto, filed July 28, 2016.

Civil Local Rule 7-3(d) provides, in relevant part, as follows: "Before the noticed hearing date, counsel may bring to the Court's attention a relevant judicial opinion published after the date the opposition or reply was filed by filing and serving a Statement of Recent Decision, containing a citation to and providing a copy of the new opinion—without argument." See Civil L.R. 7-3(d)(2). To the extent Civil Local Rule 7-3(d)(2) may be deemed applicable to decisions submitted after the noticed hearing date, here, as plaintiff points out, the proposed filing is more than "a citation to and . . . copy of the new opinion." See id.

Accordingly, the Request is hereby GRANTED as to Exhibit B attached thereto, which the Court will consider, and in all other respects the Request is hereby DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 3, 2016


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge